Q?
•

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/600,564	WEBSTER, JOHN Art Unit	R
Nouse of Anomasmy	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nicholas Ponomarenko	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperation apperation being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS therewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIPORT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>paper dated 06/23/20</u>	<u>03</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-19</u> .			
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>23 June 2003</u> are accepted by the Ex	xaminer.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have and the priority documents have are certified copies of the priority documents have and the priority documents have are certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have are certified copies not received: **Certified copies not received: **Certified copies not received: **Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on the priority of the priority documents have a complex to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submited in the priority of the priority and priority which give a complex to the priority of the priority and priority documents have a priority documents have a priority document and priority documents have a priority documents have a priority document and priority documents have a priority document anuments and priority documents have a priority document and priori	been received. been received in Application Nocuments have been received in this application. Settled. Note the attached EXAMINER's reason(s) why the oath or declarate the submitted. Settled. On's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1) and the submitted of the comment or in the October 1.00 and the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(content of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL in the present of the content of the content of the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(content of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL in the content of th	complying with the red S AMENDMENT or N tion is deficient. 948) attached office action of the sin the front (not the sin). nust be submitted. I	quirements OTICE OF L Deback) of
 Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 1 page 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	5. ☐ Notice of Informal P 6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendn 8. ☑ Examiner's Stateme 9. ☐ Other	(PTO-413), e nent/Comment	ŕ

Application/Control Number: 10/600,564

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Reasons for Allowance

Page 2

1. Claims 1-19 are allowed.

2. Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance:

Applicant(s) invention relates to a fluid flow power generating system, and more specifically, to a structure of such power generating system.

The system has a plate suspended in a moving fluid, which is connected with the help of two tethers to a rotating disk with associated levers and rods in such a way that rotation of the disc and the movement of the plate provide transformation of the energy of the moving fluid into rotation of the disk, which can be used to generate an electrical power, as disclosed.

There are inventions in the field that provide similar functionality and/or have similar features, as prior art of record shows. Applicant(s) invention differs from the prior art of record by the combination of the two tethers with other functional elements, which provide performance as disclosed and claimed, which were interpreted by the examiner as they are disclosed in the specification and the drawings, and which examiner's search failed to find.

3. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the Issue Fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the Issue Fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/600,564

Art Unit: 2834

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant(s) disclosure.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Ponomarenko whose telephone number is (571) 272- 2033, Fax: (571) 273-2033, or to his SPE Darren Schuberg (571) 272-2044.
- 6. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 2800 Customer Service Phone: (571) 272-2815

np January 26, 2005

> Nicholas Ponomarenko Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800

Page 3